

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/440,692	11/16/1999	TETSURO MOTOYAMA	5244-0104-2X	3301
2200	590 08/04/2003	A MAYOR A MINISTARY D.C.		DIED
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER ZIA, SYED	
ALEXANDRI			ZIA, S	YEU
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2131	
			DATE MAILED: 08/04/2003	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

127 127

:_	Application No.	pplicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/440,692	MOTOYAMA ET AL.					
,,	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Syed Zia	2131					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 16 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: <u>See Attachement</u> .							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Attachement</u> .							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: <u>-None-</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>-None-</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-32</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>-None-</u> .							
8. $\hfill \square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on $\underline{}$ is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer 10. Other:	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·					

Application/Control Number: 09/440,692

Art Unit: 2131

Advisory Action

This office action is in response to after-final amendment filed on July 16, 2003 (Paper No. 11). Applicant's request for consideration has been fully considered but they are not persuasive because of the following reasons:

Applicant amended independent claims. These amendments require further consideration because either scope of the claims has been changed when interpreting existing claim limitation in conjunction with amended limitation, or amendment does not place the application in condition for allowance, some examples are:

Claim 1 limitation c line 10) "wherein the monitoring ... message of the monitored data is to be communicated";

Claim 1 limitation d, line 13) "wherein the communicating device ... the message of the monitored data is to be communicated";

Claim 9 limitation c line 9) "wherein the monitoring means... message of the monitored data is to be communicated";

Claim 9 limitation d, line 12) "wherein the communicating means include ... the message of the monitored data is to be communicated";

Claim 25 limitation c line 12) "wherein the first computer code device... message of the monitored data is to be communicated";

Claim 25 limitation d, line 15) "wherein the second computer code device ... the message of the monitored data is to be communicated

Application/Control Number: 09/440,692

Art Unit: 2131

Page 3

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Syed Zia whose telephone number is 703-305-3881. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 703-305-9648. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7239 for regular communications and 703-746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-746-7240.

sz July 30, 2003

AYAZ SHEIKH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100